arXiv:math/0701507v2 [math.AG] 9 Mar 2007

Topologies on a triangulated category

So Okada*

February 2, 2008

Abstract

On objects of a triangulated category with a *stability condition*, we construct a topology.

1 Introduction

For any triangulated category, Bridgeland [Brid] introduced the notions of *stability conditions* and *stability manifolds*, motivated by the Douglas' work [Dou01a], [Dou01b], [Dou02] on Π-stabilities of D-branes for Calabi-Yau manifolds.

In particular, for a K3 surface X and the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on X, denoted by $D(X)$, Bridgeland [Bric] proved that stability conditions on the stability manifold approximate Gieseker stabilities [Gie], [Mar77], [Mar78].

Roughly speaking in terms of string theory, for a Calabi-Yau manifold X, objects of $D(X)$ correspond to B-branes among D-branes, that are boundary conditions of open strings. For a stability condition, among B-branes, *semistable* objects correspond to BPS states, that recognize themselves as D-branes in the untwisted topological field theory. A physical quantity of each BPS state is called a *central charge*. The author recommends [Asp] for string theory related to this subject.

For a triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$, stability conditions on the stability manifold describe variation of t-structures of $\mathcal{T}$, in collaboration with other subjects. The author recommends [Bria], [Huy, Section 13], [Bri06] for introductions to this subject.

In some general settings, for a triangulated category, we have seen spectra [Ros, Section 12], [Bal] and moduli spaces of objects with vanishing conditions on extension groups [Ina02], [Lie], [Ina].

In this article, on objects of a triangulated category with a stability condition, we construct a topology induced from the central charge. Also, with a *faithful* or a *numerically faithful stability condition*, we find our topology compatible with the *Grothendieck group* or the *numerical Grothendieck group*. We

---

*Address: Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Vivatsgasse 7, Bonn Germany 53111, Email: okada@mpim-bonn.mpg.de

realize that objects of a triangulated category with a stability condition is a connected space.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks I. Mirković and T. Mochizuki for their discussions. The author is grateful to the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik for their excellent support and working environment and to *Bonner* for their warm hospitality.

2 Stability conditions and stability manifolds

Throughout this paper, $\mathcal{T}$ is a triangulated category such that for any objects E and F of $\mathcal{T}$, $\oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\operatorname{Hom}^i_{\mathcal{T}}(E,F)$ is a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. For example, $\mathcal{T}$ can be $D(X)$ of a smooth projective variety X over $\mathbb{C}$.

In the *Grothendieck group* $K(\mathcal{T})$ of $\mathcal{T}$ and for each object E in $\mathcal{T}$, let $[E]$ be the class of E. For objects E and F of $\mathcal{T}$, the *Euler paring* $\chi(E,F)$ is defined to be $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^i\dim\operatorname{Hom}^i_{\mathcal{T}}(E,F)$. On this paring, the *numerical Grothendieck group* $N(\mathcal{T})$ is defined to be the quotient of $K(\mathcal{T})$ by $K(\mathcal{T})^{\perp}$.

From [Brid], we will recall fundamental notions.

2.1 Stability conditions

A *stability condition* $\sigma=(Z,\mathcal{P})$ on $\mathcal{T}$ consists of a group homomorphism from $K(\mathcal{T})$ to $\mathbb{C}$, called a *central charge* Z, and a family of full abelian subcategories of $\mathcal{T}$, called a *slicing* $\mathcal{P}(k)$, indexed by real numbers k, with the following conditions.

For each real number k, if E is an object of $\mathcal{P}(k)$, then for some positive real number $m(E)$, called the *mass* of E, we have $Z(E)=m(E)\exp(i\pi k)$. For each real number k, we have $\mathcal{P}(k+1)=\mathcal{P}(k)[1]$. For any real numbers $k_1>k_2$ and any objects E_i of $\mathcal{P}(k_i)$, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E_1,E_2)$ is the zero vector space. For any nonzero object E of $\mathcal{T}$, there exists a finite sequence of real numbers $k_1>\cdots>k_n$ and objects $H^{k_i}_{\sigma}(E)$ of $\mathcal{P}(k_i)$ such that there exists a sequence of exact triangles $E_{i-1}\to E_i\to H^{k_i}_{\sigma}(E)$ with E_0 and E being the zero object and E.

The above sequence of the exact triangles is unique up to isomorphisms and called the *Harder-Narasimhan filtration* of E; also, we call a real number in the above sequence of the real numbers a *nontrivial phase* of E. For each real number k, any nonzero object of $\mathcal{P}(k)$ is called *semistable*.

If the central charge Z factors through $N(\mathcal{T})$, then σ is called a *numerical stability condition.*

2.2 Hearts of stability conditions

For an interval I in real numbers, $\mathcal{P}(I)$ is defined to be the smallest full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ consisting of objects of $\mathcal{P}(k)$ for each real number k in I, it is closed under extension; i.e., if $E \to G \to F$ is an exact triangle in $\mathcal{T}$ and both E and F are objects of $\mathcal{P}(I)$, then G is an object of $\mathcal{P}(I)$. In particular, for each real number j, $\mathcal{P}((j-1, j])$ is a heart of a bounded t-structure of $\mathcal{T}$. We will call all $\mathcal{P}((j-1, j])$ for real numbers j, "hearts of σ".

For each nonzero object E of $\mathcal{P}((j-1, j])$, the *phase* of E is defined to be $\phi(E) = (1/\pi) \arg Z(E) \in (j-1, j]$.

2.3 Stability manifolds

A subset of stability conditions on $\mathcal{T}$ makes the *stability manifold* $\operatorname{Stab}(\mathcal{T})$, this has a natural topology induced from the central charges and each connected component is a manifold locally modeled on some topological vector subspace of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K(\mathcal{T}), \mathbb{C})$.

The subset of $\operatorname{Stab}(\mathcal{T})$ consisting of numerical stability conditions makes a subspace, the *numerical stability manifold* $\operatorname{Stab}_N(\mathcal{T})$, this is locally modeled on some topological vector subspace of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N(\mathcal{T}), \mathbb{C})$.

3 Faithful or numerically faithful stability conditions

Let $K(\mathcal{T})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $N(\mathcal{T})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ denote the tensor products $K(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and $N(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Definition 3.1. Let σ be a stability condition on $\mathcal{T}$. We call σ *faithful*, if whenever nonzero objects E and F of a heart of σ are linearly independent in $K(\mathcal{T})_{\mathbb{Q}}$, then objects E and F are with different phases. Likewise, we call σ *numerically faithful*, if whenever nonzero objects E and F of a heart of σ are linearly independent in $N(\mathcal{T})_{\mathbb{Q}}$, then E and F are with different phases.

In any stability manifolds that we are aware of, by the following lemma, there are faithful or numerically faithful stability conditions.

Lemma 3.2. If $K(\mathcal{T})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has no more than countable dimension over $\mathbb{Q}$, and if $\operatorname{Stab}(\mathcal{T})$ carries a connected component M that is locally isomorphic to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K(\mathcal{T}), \mathbb{C})$, then the subset of M consisting of faithful stability conditions is dense in M. Likewise, if $N(\mathcal{T})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has no more than countable dimension over $\mathbb{Q}$, and if $\operatorname{Stab}_N(\mathcal{T})$ carries a connected component M that is locally isomorphic to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N(\mathcal{T}), \mathbb{C})$, then the subset of M consisting of numerically faithful stability conditions is dense in M.

Proof. Let T be the subset of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K(\mathcal{T}), \mathbb{C})$ consisting of Z such that for some linearly independent classes $[E]$ and $[F]$ in $K(\mathcal{T})_{\mathbb{Q}}$, in the interval $(0, 2]$, real numbers $(1/\pi) \arg Z(E)$ and $(1/\pi) \arg Z(F)$ are the same. Then, T is a countable union of codimension-one subspaces of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K(\mathcal{T}), \mathbb{C})$. Thus, the complement of T in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K(\mathcal{T}), \mathbb{C})$ is dense in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K(\mathcal{T}), \mathbb{C})$. The same argument holds for the latter case. $\square$

In particular, we have the following.

Corollary 3.3. For a stability condition σ of $\mathcal{T}$, let E and F be nonzero objects of a heart of σ with the same phases. If σ is a faithful stability condition, then for some positive rational number q, $[E] = q[F]$ in $K(\mathcal{T})_{\mathbb{Q}}$. If σ is a numerically faithful stability condition, then for some positive rational number q, $[E] = q[F]$ in $N(\mathcal{T})_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Proof. Since $\sigma = (Z, \mathcal{P})$ is faithful, $[E]$ and $[F]$ are linearly dependent in $K(\mathcal{T})_{\mathbb{Q}}$. So there exists a nonzero rational number q such that $[E] = q[F]$ in $K(\mathcal{T})_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Here, q can not be zero, since E and F are nonzero objects of a heart of σ, which implies $Z(E)$ and $Z(F)$ are not zero. Also, q can not be negative; otherwise, their phases would differ by an odd integer. The same argument holds for the latter case. $\square$

4 Topologies on a triangulated category

We notice that for a stability condition $(Z, \mathcal{P})$ on $\mathcal{T}$ and each semistable object of $\mathcal{T}$, the central charge Z factors through $\mathbb{P}^1$; i.e., for each semistable object E of $\mathcal{T}$, its central charge is the exponential of the complex number $\log(m(E)) + i\pi\phi(E)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1$. Now, we define the following.

Definition 4.1. Let $\sigma = (Z, \mathcal{P})$ be a stability condition on $\mathcal{T}$. For a nonzero object E of $\mathcal{T}$, let $\tilde{Z}(E)$ be the subset of $\mathbb{P}^1$ consisting of points $\log(m(H^k_\sigma(E))) + i\pi\phi(H^k_\sigma(E))$ for nontrivial phases k of E. For the zero object, let the image of $\tilde{Z}$ be the infinite point in $\mathbb{P}^1$. We call the function $\tilde{Z}$ the *extended central charge* of σ.

For our arguments here, let us assume the Euclidean topology on $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Proposition 4.2. *For a triangulated category with a stability condition, there exists a unique topology on objects of the triangulated category such that the extended central charge is continuous.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category with a stability condition $\sigma = (Z, \mathcal{P})$, and $\tilde{Z}$ be the extended central charge. Then, finite unions of $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(V)$ for closed subsets V of $\mathbb{P}^1$ are our closed subsets of objects of $\mathcal{T}$. $\square$

On the topology in Proposition 4.2, we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 4.3. For a semistable object E of $\mathcal{T}$, any object of the closed set $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(\tilde{Z}(E))$ is semistable.

Proof. The Harder-Narasimhan filtration of any object of $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(\tilde{Z}(E))$ is trivial, since its image under $\tilde{Z}$ is a point in $\mathbb{P}^1$. $\square$

In particular, we have the following.

Corollary 4.4. For a faithful stability condition $(Z, \mathcal{P})$ on $\mathcal{T}$ and a semistable object E of $\mathcal{T}$, any object of the closed set $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(\tilde{Z}(E))$ is semistable and has the class $[E]$ in $K(\mathcal{T})$. For a numerically faithful stability condition $(Z, \mathcal{P})$ on $\mathcal{T}$ and a semistable object E of $\mathcal{T}$, any object of the closed set $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(\tilde{Z}(E))$ is semistable and has the class $[E]$ in $N(\mathcal{T})$.

Proof. By Corollaries 3.3 and 4.3, these statements hold. ☐

4.1 Connectedness

Lemma 4.5. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category with a stability condition. On the topology in Proposition 4.2, if an open subset U of objects of $\mathcal{T}$ contains the zero object of $\mathcal{T}$, then U contains some semistable objects.

Proof. Let $(Z, \mathcal{P})$ denote the stability condition. Let $\tilde{Z}$ be the extended central charge. Now, the open subset U is a complement of the union $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(V_1) \cup \cdots \cup \tilde{Z}^{-1}(V_n)$ for some closed subsets V_i of $\mathbb{P}^1$. Since the zero object is not in U, there is an open subset U' of $\mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(U')$ is a subset of U consisting of the infinite point of $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Here, since for any semistable object E of $\mathcal{T}$, the direct sum $E \oplus E$ is again semistable with the doubled mass, so $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(U')$ contains some semistable objects. ☐

Lemma 4.6. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category with a stability condition. On the topology constructed in Proposition 4.2, if a proper open subset U of objects of $\mathcal{T}$ contains all semistable objects of $\mathcal{T}$, then the open set U does not contain the zero object.

Proof. For closed subsets V_i of $\mathbb{P}^1$ such that $U = \tilde{Z}^{-1}(V_1) \cup \cdots \cup \tilde{Z}^{-1}(V_n)$, by the assumption on U, there is no semistable object E of $\mathcal{T}$ such that for some V_i, $\tilde{Z}(E)$ is in V_i. So, by Definition 4.1, either $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(V_i)$ is empty or of only the zero object. ☐

Theorem 4.7. *For any triangulated category with a stability condition, on the topology in Proposition 4.2, objects of the triangulated category is a connected space*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category with a stability condition $(Z, \mathcal{P})$, and $\tilde{Z}$ be the extended central charge. Let us prove the statement by a contradiction. So we suppose that some open subsets U_1 and U_2 of objects of $\mathcal{T}$ separate objects of $\mathcal{T}$. Then, either there exist semistable objects E_1 and E_2 of $\mathcal{T}$ such that E_1 and E_2 are objects of U_1 and U_2, or one of U_1 and U_2 contains all semistable objects. For the former case, the direct sum $E_1 \oplus E_2$ is not a object of $U_1 \cup U_2$. For the latter case, if the open set U_1 contains all semistable objects, then by Lemma 4.6, U_2 is an open subset consisting of the zero object. However, then by Lemma 4.5, U_1 and U_2 can not be disjoint. ☐

Remark 4.8. *For any triangulated category with a stability condition, the extended central charge naturally factors through the Ran's space [BeiDri, 3.4] of* $\mathbb{P}^1$ *with respect to a topology on* $\mathbb{P}^1$.

4.2 Closed sets

For a faithful stability condition $\sigma = (Z, \mathcal{P})$ on $\mathcal{T}$ and some semistable objects E of $\mathcal{T}$, let us take a look at closed sets $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(\tilde{Z}(E))$ in Corollary 4.4. For a vector space V, let V^* denote the dual of V. From here, let the shift [2] be the *Serre functor* [BonKap, Definition 3.1] of $\mathcal{T}$; i.e., for any objects E and F of $\mathcal{T}$, there exist bifunctorial isomorphisms $\Psi_{E,F} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E, F) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(F, E[2])^*$ such that $(\Psi^{-1}_{E[2],F[2]})^* \circ \Psi_{E,F}$ coincides with the isomorphism induced by [2]. In other words, $\mathcal{T}$ is a *2-Calabi-Yau category* [Kon].

If an object E of $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies that for any integer i other than 0 or 2, $\mathrm{Hom}^i_{\mathcal{T}}(E, E)$ is the zero vector space and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E, E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^2_{\mathcal{T}}(E, E)^* = \mathbb{C}$, then E is called *spherical* [SeiTho, Definition 1.1].

For semistable objects E and E' of $\mathcal{T}$ with the same phases, since they are of a heart of $\mathcal{T}$, for any negative integer i, $\mathrm{Hom}^i_{\mathcal{T}}(E, E')$ is the zero vector space, and since $\mathrm{Hom}^i_{\mathcal{T}}(E, E') \cong \mathrm{Hom}^{2-i}_{\mathcal{T}}(E', E)^*$, for any integer $i > 2$, $\mathrm{Hom}^i_{\mathcal{T}}(E, E')$ is the zero vector space.

Let us recall that for a stability condition $(Z, \mathcal{P})$ on $\mathcal{T}$, a semistable object E of $\mathcal{P}(\phi(E))$ is called *stable* if it has no nontrivial subobject of the abelian category $\mathcal{P}(\phi(E))$. In particular, any stable object E of $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E, E) = \mathbb{C}$. Since now $\mathcal{T}$ is 2-Calabi-Yau, a simple case of the paring in [ReiVan, Proposition I.1.4] tells us that $\chi(E, E)$ is even [Oka, Remark 3.7].

Each stability condition in $\mathrm{Stab}(\mathcal{T})$ has the property called *locally-finiteness*; in particular, for any locally-finite stability condition and a semistable object E, in $\mathcal{P}(\phi(E))$, we have a Jordan-Hölder decomposition whose composition factors are stable objects, called *stable factors* of E.

Then, in our formality, we realize a part of [Muk, Corollary 3.6].

Proposition 4.9. *Let $\sigma = (Z, \mathcal{P})$ be a faithful or numerically faithful stability condition in $\mathrm{Stab}(\mathcal{T})$ and E be a semistable object of $\mathcal{T}$ such that $\chi(E, E)$ is positive. Then, $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(\tilde{Z}(E))$ contains only E, and E is a direct sum of a stable spherical object.*

Proof. Any stable object S of $\mathcal{P}(\phi(E))$ is spherical; because, $\chi(S, S) = 2 - \mathrm{Hom}^1_{\mathcal{T}}(S, S)$ is even and by Corollary 3.3, for some positive rational number q, $[S] = q[E]$, which implies $\chi(S, S) = q^2\chi(E, E)$ is positive. If we have nonisomorphic stable objects S_1 and S_2 in $\mathcal{P}(\phi(E))$, then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(S_1, S_2)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}^2_{\mathcal{T}}(S_1, S_2) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(S_2, S_1)^*$ would be the zero vector spaces, and then, $\chi(S_1, S_2) = -\dim \mathrm{Hom}^1_{\mathcal{T}}(S_1, S_2)$ would not be positive. However, since by Corollary 3.3, for some positive rational numbers q_1 and q_2, we have $[E] = q_1[S_1] = q_2[S_2]$, $\chi(S_1, S_2) = q_1 q_2 \chi(E, E)$ is positive.

Extensions of a spherical object are direct sums of the spherical object, so the statement follows. □

4.2.1 An example

Let X be the cotangent bundle of $\mathbb{P}^1$. From here, let $\mathcal{T}$ be the full subcategory of $D(X)$ consisting of objects supported over $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Since $K(\mathcal{T}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{O}_x] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}]$ with $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) = 2$ and $[\mathcal{O}_x]$ being zero in $N(\mathcal{T})$, for any object E, $\chi(E, E)$ is not negative. So, by Proposition 4.9, we take semistable objects E with $[E]$ being zero in $N(\mathcal{T})$ and look at $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(\tilde{Z}(E))$.

For a spherical object E and an object F of $\mathcal{T}$, the cone of the evaluation map $R\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E, F) \otimes E \to F$ is denoted by $T_E(F)$, the *twist functor* of E [SeiTho, Section 1.1]. By [SeiTho, Theorem 1.2], twist functors are autoequivalences of $\mathcal{T}$. Any autoequivalence Φ of $\mathcal{T}$ acts on $\operatorname{Stab}(\mathcal{T})$; for each $\sigma = (Z, \mathcal{P})$ in $\operatorname{Stab}(\mathcal{T})$, $\Psi(\sigma)$ is defined to be $(Z \circ \Psi^{-1}, \Psi \circ \mathcal{P})$.

Here, for an object E of $\mathcal{T}$, if for any point x in $\mathbb{P}^1$ and any integer i, $\operatorname{Hom}^i_{\mathcal{T}}(E, \mathcal{O}_x)$ is the zero vector space, then E is isomorphic to the zero object; for the largest integer q_0 such that the support of the cohomology sheaf $H^{q_0}_X(E)$ has a point x in $\mathbb{P}^1$, the nonzero term E_2^{0,q_0} in the spectral sequence $E_2^{p,q} = \operatorname{Hom}^p_{\mathcal{T}}(H^{-q}_X(E), \mathcal{O}_x) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}^{p+q}_{\mathcal{T}}(E, \mathcal{O}_x)$ survives at infinity (see [Bri99, Section 2]).

Let us recall that the connectedness of $\operatorname{Stab}(\mathcal{T})$ in [Oka, Theorem 4.12] follows by proving that for any stability condition σ in $\operatorname{Stab}(\mathcal{T})$ and for some integer w, objects $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w-1)[1]$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w)$ of $\mathcal{T}$ generate a heart of σ and by using [Brib, Lemmas 3.1 and 3.6, Theorem 1.3], as explained in [Oka, Section 4.3].

Now, to prove Proposition 4.10, one way is to use [IshUedUeh, Proposition 18 and Corollary 20]; for our conclusion on this case, we give a proof.

Proposition 4.10. *Let $\sigma = (Z, \mathcal{P})$ be a faithful stability condition in* $\operatorname{Stab}(\mathcal{T})$. *For each semistable object E of $\mathcal{T}$ with $[E] = [\mathcal{O}_x]$, up to autoequivalences of $\mathcal{T}$, $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(\tilde{Z}(E)) = \{\mathcal{O}_x \mid x \in \mathbb{P}^1\}$.*

Proof. As mentioned above, for some integer w, by extensions, objects $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w-1)[1]$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w)$ generate a heart of σ. For each point x in $\mathbb{P}^1$, by the exact triangle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w) \to \mathcal{O}_x \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w-1)[1]$, the object $\mathcal{O}_x$ is of the heart and, since σ is faithful, phases of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w-1)[1]$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w)$ are distinct.

First, let us suppose $\phi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w-1)[1]) > \phi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ and see for any point x in $\mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{O}_x$ is semistable. With classes of objects of the heart, $[\mathcal{O}_x]$ can be represented by only $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w-1)[1]] + [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w)]$, if it is other than $[\mathcal{O}_x]$. So, if $\mathcal{O}_x$ were not semistable, by the assumption on phases, the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $\mathcal{O}_x$ must give the exact triangle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w-1)[1] \to \mathcal{O}_x \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w)$; however, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w-1)[1]), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w)) = \operatorname{Hom}^{-1}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w-1), \mathcal{O}_x)$ is the zero vector space, since the objects $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w-1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_x$ are of a heart of $\mathcal{T}$ such as the category of the coherent sheaves of X supported over $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Now, any object of $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(\tilde{Z}(E))$ is stable; otherwise, by Corollary 3.3, for some rational number $q > 1$ and a stable object S, we would have $[\mathcal{O}_x] = q[S]$.

For a point x in $\mathbb{P}^1$ and the integer $k = \phi(E) - \phi(\mathcal{O}_x)$, we show that for each object E' of $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(\tilde{Z}(E[-k]))$ and some point y in $\mathbb{P}^1$, E' is isomorphic to the object $\mathcal{O}_y$. Here, for some object E' in $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(\tilde{Z}(E[-k]))$, we suppose otherwise and show that for any point x in $\mathbb{P}^1$ and any integer i, $\operatorname{Hom}^i_{\mathcal{T}}(E', \mathcal{O}_x)$ is the zero vector space. For any point x in $\mathbb{P}^1$, since the objects E' and $\mathcal{O}_x$ are stable with the same phases, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E', \mathcal{O}_x)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}_x, E')$ are the zero vector spaces; so, $\mathrm{Hom}^2_{\mathcal{T}}(E', \mathcal{O}_x) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}_x, E')^*$ is also the zero vector space. Since the objects E' and $\mathcal{O}_x$ are of the heart of σ, for any negative integer i, $\mathrm{Hom}^i_{\mathcal{T}}(E', \mathcal{O}_x)$ is the zero vector space, and also for any integer $i > 2$, $\mathrm{Hom}^i_{\mathcal{T}}(E', \mathcal{O}_x) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^{2-i}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}_x, E')^*$ is the zero vector space. Now, since σ is faithful, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_x, \mathcal{O}_x) = \chi(E', \mathcal{O}_x) = -\dim \mathrm{Hom}^1_{\mathcal{T}}(E', \mathcal{O}_x)$ is zero.

For the other case, we apply the twist functor $T_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w-1)}$ and use the above argument; by [IshUeh, Lemma 4.15 (i)(1)], $T_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w-1)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w-1)[1]) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w-1)$ and $T_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w-1)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w)) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w-2)[1]$. □

Before discussing the other cases, let us introduce some notions. For each semistable object E and a Jordan-Hölder decomposition $E \supset E_1 \supset \cdots \supset E_n \supset 0$ in $\mathcal{P}(\phi(E))$, *the cycle of simple components* [Ses, Section 2] associated to the decomposition is defined to be $E_n \oplus E_{n-1}/E_{n-2} \oplus \cdots \oplus E/E_1$, which is by [Ses, Theorem 2.1], up to isomorphisms, independent of the choices of Jordan-Hölder decompositions. Semistable objects with isomorphic cycles of simple components are said to be *S-equivalent* [Ses, Remark 2.1], [Gie, Section 0].

Now, for some integer $|n| > 1$, a semistable object E with $[E] = n[\mathcal{O}_x]$ in $K(\mathcal{T})$, the integer $k = \phi(E) - \phi(\mathcal{O}_x)$, and any object E' of $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(\tilde{Z}(E[-k]))$, since for some point x in $\mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E', \mathcal{O}_x)$ is not zero, E' has a Jordan-Hölder decomposition whose composition factors are $\mathcal{O}_x$ for points x in $\mathbb{P}^1$. So, up to S-equivalence on $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(\tilde{Z}(E))$ and autoequivalences on $\mathrm{Stab}(\mathcal{T})$, for each object of $\tilde{Z}^{-1}(\tilde{Z}(E))$, we have the corresponding n-fold direct sum of points in $\mathbb{P}^1$.

References

[Asp] P. S. Aspinwall, *D-branes on Calabi-Yau manifolds*, DUKE-CGTP-04-04, arXiv:hep-th/0403166.

[Bal] P. Balmer. *The spectrum of prime ideals in tensor triangulated categories*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **588**, 149–168, 2005.

[BeiDri] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld, *Chiral algebras*, **51**, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[BonKap] A. I. Bondal and M. M. Kapranov, *Representable functors, Serre functors, and reconstructions*, (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., **53** (6), 1183–1205, 1337, 1989; translation in Math. USSR-Izv., **35** (3), 519–541, 1990.

[Bria] T. Bridgeland, *Spaces of stability conditions*, arXiv:math.AG/0611510.

[Bri06] T. Bridgeland, *Derived categories of coherent sheaves*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol II, (Madrid 2006), 563–582, Madrid, 2006, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, arXiv:math.AG/0602129.

[Brib] T. Bridgeland, *Stability conditions and Kleinian singularities*, arXiv:math.AG/0508257.

[Bric] T. Bridgeland, *Stability conditions on K3 surfaces*, arXiv:math.AG/0307164.

[Brid] T. Bridgeland, *Stability conditions on triangulated categories*, to appear in Ann. of Math. (2), arXiv:math.AG/0212237.

[Bri99] T. Bridgeland, *Equivalences of triangulated categories and Fourier-Mukai transforms*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **31** (1), 25–34, 1999.

[Dou01a] M. R. Douglas, *D-branes, categories and $N = 1$ supersymmetry*, J. Math. Phys., **42** (7), 2818–2843, 2001.

[Dou01b] M. R. Douglas, *D-branes on Calabi-Yau manifolds*, European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Barcelona, 2000), volume 202 of Progr. Math., 449–466, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.

[Dou02] M. R. Douglas, *Dirichlet branes, homological mirror symmetry, and stability*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. III (Beijing, 2002), 395–408, Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002.

[Gie] D. Gieseker, *On the moduli of vector bundles on an algebraic surface*, Ann. of Math. (2), **106** (1), 45–60, 1977.

[Huy] D. Huybrechts, *Fourier-Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.

[Ina] M. Inaba, *Moduli of stable objects in a triangulated category*, arXiv:math.AG/0612078.

[Ina02] M. Inaba, *Toward a definition of moduli of complexes of coherent sheaves on a projective scheme*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **42** (2), 317–329, 2002.

[IshUedUeh] A. Ishii, K. Ueda, and H. Uehara, *Stability conditions on A_n-singularities*, arXiv:math.AG/0609551.

[IshUeh] A. Ishii and H. Uehara, *Autoequivalences of derived categories on the minimal resolutions of A_n-singularities on surfaces*, J. Differential Geom., **71** (3), 385–435, 2005.

[Kon] M. Kontsevich, 1998 lectures at the École Normale Supérieure.

[Lie] M. Lieblich. *Moduli of complexes on a proper morphism*. J. Algebraic Geom., **15** (1), 175–206, 2006.

[Mar78] M. Maruyama, *Moduli of stable sheaves. II*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **18** (3), 557–614, 1978.

[Mar77] M. Maruyama, *Moduli of stable sheaves. I*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **17** (1), 91–126, 1977.

[Muk] S. Mukai, *On the moduli space of bundles on $K3$ surfaces. I*, Vector bundles on algebraic varieties (Bombay, 1984), Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., **11**, 341–413, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1987.

[Oka] S. Okada, *On stability manifolds of Calabi-Yau surfaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not., **2006**, Article ID 58743, 16 pages, 2006.

[ReiVan] I. Reiten and M. Van den Bergh, *Noetherian hereditary abelian categories satisfying Serre duality*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **15** (2), 295–366, 2002.

[Ros] A. Rosenberg, *Spectra related with localizations*, MPI-2003-112, Preprint of the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik.

[SeiTho] P. Seidel and R. Thomas, *Braid group actions on derived categories of coherent sheaves*, Duke Math. J., **108** (1), 37–108, 2001

[Ses] C. S. Seshadri, *Space of unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Ann. of Math. (2), **85**, 303–336, 1967.